# STRESS AND THE BRAIN: FROM ADAPTATION TO DISEASE

# E. Ron de Kloet\*, Marian Joëls<sup>‡</sup> and Florian Holsboer<sup>§</sup>

Abstract | In response to stress, the brain activates several neuropeptide-secreting systems. This eventually leads to the release of adrenal corticosteroid hormones, which subsequently feed back on the brain and bind to two types of nuclear receptor that act as transcriptional regulators. By targeting many genes, corticosteroids function in a binary fashion, and serve as a master switch in the control of neuronal and network responses that underlie behavioural adaptation. In genetically predisposed individuals, an imbalance in this binary control mechanism can introduce a bias towards stress-related brain disease after adverse experiences. New candidate susceptibility genes that serve as markers for the prediction of vulnerable phenotypes are now being identified.

HYPERCORTISOLAEMIA Excess levels of circulating cortisol.

\*Department of Medical Pharmacology, Leiden Amsterdam Center for Drug Research and Leiden University Medical Center, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University. PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. <sup>‡</sup>Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 320, 1098 SM Amsterdam, The Netherlands. <sup>§</sup>Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Kraepelinstrasse 2-10, 80804 Munich, Germany. Correspondence to E.R.d.K. e-mail: e.kloet@lacdr. leidenuniv.nl doi:10.1038/nrn1683 Published online 13 May 2005

All living organisms strive towards a dynamic equilibrium, which is called homeostasis. In the classical stress concept, this equilibrium is threatened by certain physical and psychological events that are known as 'stressors'<sup>1-8</sup>. As a result, behaviour is directed towards appraising the destabilizing potential of the stressor. If the event fails to match some cognitive representation based on previous subjective experience, there is a surge in arousal, alertness, vigilance, focused attention and cognitive processing. The interface between the incoming sensory information and the appraisal process is formed by limbic brain structures, which include the hippocampus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex.

Stressors also trigger physiological and behavioural responses that are aimed at reinstating homeostasis. This 'stress response' is reflected in the rapid activation of the sympathetic nervous system, which leads to the release of noradrenaline from widely distributed synapses and adrenaline from the adrenal medulla. Blood concentrations of adrenal glucocorticoids also rise to peak levels after 15–30 min and then decline slowly to pre-stress levels 60–90 min later. As well as homeostatic disturbance, purely psychological processes can determine the magnitude of the stress response. In the psychological realm, the response determinants include the ability to predict upcoming events and to exert control over the situation. Effective coping implies that the stress response is activated rapidly when it is needed and is efficiently terminated afterwards. The processes that underlie the stress response have been collectively termed 'allostasis'<sup>8</sup>. In the first part of this review, we highlight the adaptive stress-related processes that take place in limbic brain regions.

If the stress response is inadequate or excessive and prolonged, the cost of reinstating homeostasis might become too high, which is a condition that is termed allostatic load8. In the second part of this review, we discuss how such an inappropriate stress response in animals produces a vulnerable phenotype and acts as a trigger for mechanisms that leave genetically predisposed individuals at an increased risk of (mental) illness. In the third and final part of this review, we address how, in genetically predisposed humans, these situations might evolve into enhanced sympathetic nervous system activity combined with HYPERCORTISOLAEMIA, as seen in depression<sup>9,10</sup>, or with hypocortisolaemia, as found with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)<sup>11</sup>. We also address why maladaptive changes produce stress-related brain disorders in some individuals, whereas others remain in excellent health under similar adverse conditions.

# REVIEWS



The stress system has two modes of operation. The fast mode involves the corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-driven sympathetic and behavioural 'fight-flight' response, which is mediated by the CRH1 receptor (CRHR1). CRHR1 also activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. The HPA axis involves CRH and vasopressin (AVP), which are produced in the parvocellular neurons of the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN); these neurons secrete the peptides into the portal vessel system to activate the synthesis of pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) in the anterior pituitary, which is processed to corticotropin (ACTH), opioid and melanocortin peptides, among others. ACTH stimulates the adrenal cortex to secrete cortisol (in humans) and corticosterone (in humans, rats and mice). Several afferent pathways activate the CRH neurons in the PVN; these include limbic pathways that are activated by psychological stressors, and ascending brain-stem pathways that convey visceral and sensory stimuli.

The other slower mode that promotes adaptation and recovery is governed by the recently discovered urocortins II and III, which act through the CRHR2 system<sup>163,164</sup>. The CRHR1 and CRHR2 systems have a partly overlapping distribution in the brain, which matches their CRH and urocortin terminal fields, respectively. Intracerebroventricular administration of CRH mimics the initial behavioural, autonomic and neuroendocrine stress response, and is anxiogenic, whereas urocortins seem to have anxiolytic properties<sup>165</sup>.

Corticosteroids operate in both stress-system modes through mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs, respectively), which are co-expressed abundantly in the neurons of limbic structures<sup>17</sup>. MR is implicated in the appraisal process and the onset of the stress response. GR, which is only activated by large amounts of corticosteroid, terminates the stress reactions, mobilizes the energy resources required for this purpose and facilitates recovery. GR promotes memory storage in preparation for future events. Therefore, the two stress systems form interacting signalling networks that underlie adaptive processes ranging from the appraisal of an unfamiliar stimulus to memory storage and retrieval. They also participate in various aspects of the control of energy metabolism, from appetite and macronutrient choice to energy disposition and storage.

POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER (PTSD). The symptoms of PTSD consist of re-experiencing an extreme stressor or traumatic event, avoidance of reminders of the event and hyperarousal.

# Stress action in the brain: towards adaptation

*Binary action of hormonal systems.* When a situation is perceived as stressful, the brain activates many neuronal circuits to adapt to the demand. Two neuropeptides, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and vasopressin (AVP), are essential for coordinating the behavioural and metabolic responses to stress. The hypothalamic release of CRH and vasopressin govern the HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-ADRENOCORTICAL (HPA) AXIS, the activity of which is reflected in blood concentrations of corticosteroid hormones (BOX 1).

Corticosteroids reach every organ by way of the circulation, which allows the coordination of brain and body functions that are geared towards coping with stress, recovery and adaptation. To exert this crucial life-sustaining function, corticosteroids mobilize sub-strates for energy metabolism and dampen primary stress and immune and inflammatory reactions to prevent them from overshooting<sup>6</sup> (metaphorically, "glu-cocorticoids contain the water damage caused by the fire brigade"<sup>7</sup>). The underlying mechanism involves an integrated response, which starts with rapid hormone-induced changes in receptor conformation that lead to slower modulations of gene transcription (BOX 2).

The receptor system that mediates the slow genomic actions of corticosteroids has several remarkable features. First, it consists of two related receptor molecules, the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which bind the same hormone (primarily cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rodents) in the brain, albeit with a tenfold difference in affinity<sup>12,13</sup>. MR affinity seems sufficiently high to maintain receptor activation throughout 1-h intervals between hormone secretory bursts of 20-min duration. By contrast, the lower affinity GR seems to respond largely in phase with the ULTRADIAN RHYTHM. This receptor becomes progressively activated during stress- and circadian-induced increases in the frequency and amplitude of corticosteroid secretory bursts<sup>14,15</sup>.

Second, GR distribution is ubiquitous, although uneven, in neurons and glial cells. GR density is highest in the parvocellular paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the HPA axis, in neurons of ascending AMINERGIC PATHways and in limbic neurons that modulate PVN function trans-synaptically through pathways that impinge on an inhibitory hypothalamic GABA ( $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid) network that surrounds the PVN<sup>16,17</sup>. Limbic neurons also express MRs abundantly. Considerable MR and GR co-expression is found in hippocampal pyramidal cell fields (except the adult CA3, which shows minimal GR expression) as well as the dentate gyrus, the amygdaloid and lateral septal nuclei, and some cortical areas. MR and GR co-localization is found in the hippocampus of almost all species<sup>18</sup>.

Third, corticosteroids seem to operate in a binary fashion to target gene networks that underlie the acute and late-recovery phases of the adaptive stress reaction (FIG. 1). The formation of MR and GR homodimers and heterodimers, as well as monomers, allows receptor responsivity over a wide concentration range<sup>19,20</sup>. In the recovery phase, GR monomers can interfere with transcription factors and repress stress-induced responses, such as peptide synthesis (including that of CRH and vasopressin), and thereby terminate ongoing stress reactions. This suppression is not uniform, as GR dimers can also activate extrahypothalamic (amygdaloid) CRH and ascending aminergic systems, and metabolic enzymes. Corticosteroid receptor function, neurotransmitters and neuropeptides are intrinsically



### Box 2 | Diversity of mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor signalling in limbic neurons

On binding corticosterone, the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) multimeric protein complex dissociates (panel a). For the effects on gene expression, MRs and GRs, functioning either as homodimers or heterodimers, interact at a glucocorticoidresponse element (GRE) and recruit corepressors or co-activators, whereas GR monomers interact with stress-induced transcription factors (TFs) or other proteins to dampen their transcriptional activity<sup>166</sup>. The rapid responses, which involve steroidinduced conformational changes and reaggregation with other proteins (such as heat-shock proteins (HSPs)), and a putative membrane steroid receptor, remain poorly understood.

Signalling can be regulated at several levels. First, through the corticosteroidbinding globulin (CBG) in blood, which is important for the availability of corticosterone. Second, through multidrug resistance (MDR) P glycoprotein in the blood–brain barrier (BBB), which hampers the penetration of synthetic glucocorticoids and some naturally occurring glucocorticoids into the brain<sup>135</sup>. Third, through the multimeric receptor–protein complex. On binding corticosterone, factors such as HSPs dissociate, changing the conformation of the receptor. Fourth, through steroid metabolism<sup>152</sup>. Unlike MRs

in typical aldosterone targets, such as the kidney, sweat glands and brain circumventricular organs, MRs in most parts of the brain lack specificity for aldosterone, which circulates at a 100-fold lower concentration than corticosterone. The latter aldosterone specificity is achieved by an oxidase that converts corticosterone into its inactive 11-dehydrometabolite before receptor binding. In the brain, the isoform that catalyses this reaction is restricted to regions that are involved in the regulation of salt appetite and volume. Another isoform that promotes the reverse reaction to regenerate bioactive cortisol is active in the liver and limbic brain. Steroids can also be converted to neurosteroids that directly interact with and modulate membrane receptors, such as the GABA ( $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid) receptor. Fifth, through interaction of GR with transcription factors, such as nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B) and activator protein 1 (AP1). Sixth, through the interactions of MR and GR with co-regulators; the recruitment of co-repressors and co-activators induces the repression and transactivation of gene expression, respectively<sup>90</sup>.

The effects of steroids on membrane properties are shown in panel b. On binding to corticosterone, MR and GR can regulate the transcription of genes that are involved in controlling the properties of G protein-coupled receptors, ion channels, ionotropic receptors and ion pumps. This leads to changes in the conductance of the plasma membrane. These steroid actions are conditional, slow in onset and long lasting. RE, response element.

intertwined<sup>21</sup>. Limbic network properties are altered such that experiences are remembered and stress responsiveness is maintained for future events.

*Molecular and cellular changes.* As corticosteroid receptors function as transcriptional regulators, the first step that leads to their ultimate effect on adaptive behaviour involves the altered expression of responsive genes. Recently, large-scale gene-expression-profiling methods, such as SERIAL ANALYSIS OF GENE EXPRESSION (SAGE) and DNA MICROARRAYS, have been applied to identify these genes, using an experimental

design that mimics differential MR and GR occupation. In the hippocampus, the activation of MRs or GRs led to the altered expression of 70–100 genes, of which roughly 50% were upregulated. One-third of the genes were responsive to both receptor types<sup>22</sup>. These findings indicated that corticosteroids coordinate the expression of high- and medium-abundant genes that underlie aspects of cell metabolism, structure and synaptic transmission. Some of the genes included known GR targets, such as enzymes and receptors for biogenic amines and neuropeptides<sup>23</sup>, growth factors<sup>24,25</sup> and cell-adhesion factors<sup>26</sup>.

HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-ADRENAL AXIS (HPA axis). The HPA axis is the endocrine core of the stress system, which involves hypothalamic corticotropinreleasing hormone, pituitary corticotropin and adrenal cortisol.

ULTRADIAN RHYTHM The regular recurrence of cycles of less than 24 h from one stated point to another.

AMINERGIC PATHWAYS Systems that involve serotonin or catecholamines.

SERIAL ANALYSIS OF GENE EXPRESSION (SAGE). This method allows the analysis of overall geneexpression patterns. SAGE does not require a pre-existing clone, so it can be used to identify and quantify new as well as known genes.

DNA MICROARRAY Technology that can simultaneously measure the expression patterns of thousands of genes on a single chip.



Figure 1 | Time course of cellular responses to stress hormones. Activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis by stress leads to a temporary rise in circulating corticosteroid levels, as exemplified by the changes in hormone levels over time shown in the graph (see also BOX 1). The hormone levels are usually normalized by 2 h after the onset of the stress. In the early phases of the stress response, when the corticosteroid levels rise, fast-acting agents (such as catecholamines, neuropeptides and possibly corticosterone itself) contribute to an adequate response to the stressor, which leads to enhanced vigilance, alertness, arousal and attention. Gradually, gene-mediated corticosteroid effects take over through the transcriptional regulation of specific sets of genes by the mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs, respectively). This affects cellular function in cells that carry these receptors, such as CA1 hippocampal cells. Typically, the dose-dependence curve of these cells for the hormone is U-shaped. The MR- and GRmediated actions affect structural integrity and excitability, and proceed in a coordinated manner, which is linked in time to a particular stage of information processing. The MR is mostly responsible for the maintenance of the stress-related neural circuits, and is implicated in the appraisal of sensory information and its organization, whereas the GR is important for the normalization of homeostasis and the storage of information in preparation for future use. AVP, vasopressin; CRH, corticotropin-releasing hormone.

These observations underpin the relevance of corticosteroids as structural modulators in limbic areas. In the CA3 hippocampal area, a single stressor led to a delayed loss of apical dendrites but an enhanced basal dendritic tree<sup>27</sup>. In the dentate gyrus, the maintenance of dendritic structure and size depends on MR occupation<sup>28</sup>. The MR and GR were also found to be important with regard to neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus<sup>29</sup>. The activation of MR is necessary to restrain proliferation, as well as apoptotic cell death, in this region<sup>30,31</sup>. This involves the regulation of several pro- and antiapoptotic genes<sup>32</sup> after the activation of the corticosteroid receptors<sup>33</sup>. Brief exposure to stress temporarily suppresses proliferation and increases cell death<sup>34-36</sup>. The functional relevance of a brief arrest in cell proliferation after an acute stressor might be limited, as it pertains to an extremely small fraction of the overall population of dentate cells. However, it could become relevant if it reflects a more generalized structural reorganization.

Although large-scale expression profiling points to structural proteins as the endpoints of corticosteroid action, this approach is not yet informative about the gene transcripts that underlie electrical and chemical communication, because their abundance is too low to be reliably detected. However, electrophysiological studies have clearly indicated that such molecules are prominent targets of stress hormones. Some common principles have become evident over the years with regard to the effects of stress hormones on the electrical properties of limbic cells<sup>37</sup> (FIG. 1). First, corticosteroid hormones, particularly when acting through GRs, usually have little effect on cellular properties under resting conditions and their effects only become evident when the cells are driven from their resting potential. In this manner, GR activation can reverse noradrenaline-induced excitation<sup>38</sup>.

Second, corticosteroids are pleiotropic agents, as might be expected of hormones that regulate the transcription of several genes. The functions of the diverse proteins that are involved - for example, in voltagegated ion-channel function or G-protein-coupled receptor signalling - are strongly affected by corticosterone and stress. The serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, or 5-HT) 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor has been particularly well studied in this regard<sup>39,40</sup>. Inhibitory responses through this receptor were found to be weak under basal conditions, but increased markedly with GR activation. In the absence of corticorsterone, responses were similar to those seen with the concurrent activation of the receptors, which yielded a U-shaped dose-dependency. This U-shape turned out to be a typical feature of most cellular properties that are affected by corticosteroids in the CA1 area37.

Although they are pleiotropic in their effects, steroids do not change all cellular properties. For instance, L-type calcium-channel function is markedly affected<sup>41,42</sup>, whereas the function of potassium and sodium channels seems to be less prominently altered. The effects of stress and corticosterone on ionotropic receptors are generally relatively rapid and independent of the slow gene-mediated pathways. This applies to the effects on glutamate release in the hippocampus43 and also in the hypothalamus, which involve endocannabinoids44. These rapid corticosteroid actions might form a bridge between the rapid effects that are mediated by catecholamines and CRH, and the delayed actions that are mediated by corticosterone through gene transcription. Stress also exerts relatively slow effects on AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4 isoxazole propionic acid) glutamate receptor (GluR1) subunit recruitment<sup>45</sup>.

The two receptor systems also function in a binary fashion at the cellular level<sup>4</sup>. In general, the effects of MR activity on cellular communication maintain the excitability and stability of networks. Conversely, GR (in addition to MR) activation leads to delayed suppression or normalization of network activity (FIG. 1). By allowing more calcium influx into the cell on depolarization, GR activation might facilitate the retention of information. However, enhanced calcium influx could also prime limbic neurons for the detrimental effects that might develop when networks are sufficiently challenged by concurrent adverse situations, such as an ischaemic insult. Networks and behaviour. Basal corticosteroid levels are associated with the effective induction of LONG-TERM POTENTIATION (LTP) in the hippocampus<sup>46</sup>, which is currently the best-documented neuronal substrate for memory formation<sup>47</sup>. By contrast, high levels of corticosterone, stress or exposure to a new environment have been consistently shown to impair subsequently induced LTP and to facilitate long-term depression<sup>48-50</sup>. Little is known about the mechanism through which these stress-induced changes are accomplished, although NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate) receptors seem to be involved<sup>51</sup>. Clearly, GR- or stress-mediated changes in glutamate transmission and calcium influx affect the potential for synaptic plasticity, although not necessarily in the direction of impaired LTP. The crucial issue seems to be the timing of events. If stress hormones reach limbic areas, they might alter network function — through the rapid effects of CRH<sup>52</sup>, neu $rosteroids^{53}$  or even corticosterone  $itself^{44,54}-$  so that subsequent patterned input that is unrelated to the stress (for example, electrical stimulation or a learning-induced situation) is less effective at strengthening the synapses<sup>55</sup>. However, if stress hormones are released in conjunction with the learning situation, and cause hormone release and network activation to concur, they might prime synapses so that synaptic potentiation is facilitated.

Timing has not been investigated in great detail with regard to LTP, but it has been addressed in behavioural studies. MRs and GRs affect complex learning models, such as spatial orientation, in a coordinate manner. Intracerebroventricular administration of GR antagonists immediately after the learning phase in a water maze impaired retrieval measured 24 h later<sup>56</sup>. The GR antagonist was not effective immediately before the retrieval test, which indicated that the antagonist interfered with consolidation rather than retrieval. GR<sup>dim/dim</sup> mutant mice, which carry a point mutation that prevents GR dimerization and DNA binding, also showed impairments in memory storage<sup>57</sup>. So, GR activation seems to be a prerequisite for the storage of relevant information for future use. Conversely, in a water maze, MR blockade did not interfere with the ability to locate the platform but did alter the search pattern, which indicated an adaptational role for MRs in the appraisal of environmental stimuli.

Whereas task-related elevations in corticosterone seem to be essential for consolidation<sup>56,58,59</sup>, GR activation before retention seems to interfere with previously acquired information. For instance, stress before the retrieval test in a Morris maze reduced the swim time in the former platform quadrant<sup>60</sup>. This could be interpreted as a retention deficit that was entirely owing to altered GR function, but could also be regarded as behavioural extinction through the active consolidation of new and more appropriate information<sup>61</sup>.

The involvement of specific brain regions and transmitter systems also determines the outcome of hormonal effects. For example, memories are longer lasting when a situation is arousing<sup>62</sup>. The

basolateral amygdaloid nucleus (BLA), CRH and noradrenaline seem to be crucial for this process<sup>59</sup>. It has been shown that corticosteroid effects on the consolidation of memory in fear conditioning, the Morris water maze and object recognition require concomitant noradrenergic input. At the cellular and network levels, these processes are only just starting to be understood. For instance, GR-enhanced calcium influx<sup>63</sup> might promote synaptic potentiation in the BLA<sup>64</sup>, as voltage-gated ion channels (in addition to NMDA receptors) have an important role in LTP in this region.

Stress-hormone effects in the BLA seem to be important for network changes in areas that are reciprocally connected with the amygdala, such as the hippocampus<sup>65,66</sup> and the prefrontal cortex<sup>67</sup>. The combined effects of stress hormones in all of these areas, which might be differentially involved depending on the type and severity of the stressor, determine the overall outcome. A potent modulator of the effect of the stressor seems to be the extent of behavioural control. A recent study indicated that stressor controllability inhibits the stress-induced activation of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons through infralimbic and prelimbic ventral-medial prefrontal cortex input<sup>68</sup>. This finding could explain how coordinated MRand GR-mediated effects, depending on the context (controllability), might modulate the consequences of stress.

### The vulnerable phenotype in animal models

Traumatic experiences in early life or acute and chronic stressors challenge the capacity of an individual to cope. If coping fails, various events occur that result in a longlasting state of distress, which is reflected in aberrant HPA axis activity and altered limbic function.

The chronically stressed animal. Acute and traumatic inescapable stressors in adulthood can have lasting consequences on the brain and behaviour. Most 'chronic stress' models are based on exposure of an organism to several weeks of daily immobilization, a selection of randomized stressors twice daily or repetitive social stressors, such as daily exposure to a dominant male. Although these procedures do not have similar outcomes for all stress parameters, they cause severe deficits in hippocampus-related memory<sup>26,48</sup>. In addition, chronically stressed animals show increased fear-motivated behaviour<sup>69,70</sup>. The neural correlates of the behavioural deficits have been documented in the hippocampus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex, and include aspects of structural remodelling and cell proliferation, as well as changes in amine, neuropeptide and corticosteroid systems (FIG. 2).

One of the most consistent effects of chronic stress is a reduction in the branching and length of CA3 pyramidal apical dendrites, along with a decreased number of synaptic contacts<sup>71–73</sup>. Similar reduced branching has been reported for the principal neurons in the prefrontal cortex<sup>74</sup>. By contrast, repeated immobilization stress causes hypertrophy in the basolateral

LONG-TERM POTENTIATION The prolonged strengthening of synaptic communication, which is induced by patterned input and is thought to be involved in learning and memory formation.



Figure 2 Gene-environment interactions produce a vulnerable phenotype. Responses to several or longlasting stressors in adulthood depend on genetic predisposition and are modulated by the history of the individual, particularly during early life. Genetic background might, to some extent, also predispose to early-life events and early-life history (such as maternal care) can, in turn, change the genetic profile through epigenetic pathways. All of these factors determine whether the organism is susceptible or resilient to severe stressors in adulthood. Vulnerable individuals might display changes in corticosteroid receptor expression, atrophy of hippocampal cells, reduced neurogenesis, altered monoaminergic signalling, reduced synaptic plasticity and impaired learning ability, which can, ultimately, lead to a diseased state. DG, dentate gyrus; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; LTP, long-term potentiation; MR, mineralocorticoid receptor; 5HT14/5-HT2c, serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, or 5-HT) receptors.

amygdala<sup>75</sup>. Glutamate, which is the main transmitter for all of these neurons, might be causative for the structural alterations, as NMDA-receptor blockers prevented dendritic atrophy in the hippocampus after chronic stress. In addition, vesicles in the glutamatergic mossy fibre terminals that synapsed onto CA3 neurons were redistributed76, which indicates stronger and perhaps excitotoxic input. In agreement with this idea, chronic stress specifically enhanced NMDA-receptormediated responses in CA3 neurons<sup>77</sup>, whereas in the dentate gyrus, AMPA receptor-mediated responses were increased78. Nevertheless, LTP was impaired in all hippocampal subareas<sup>79</sup>. As detailed time courses of both physiological and structural changes after chronic stress are not yet available, it remains possible that overexposure to glucocorticoids, which target genes that encode structural proteins, primarily change dendritic morphology, which, in turn, changes the electrotonic length of the tree and, secondarily, also changes the glutamate-induced voltage shifts in the soma.

Another structure-related change involves the proliferation of progenitor cells in the dentate subgranular zone. Whereas acute stress only temporarily suppressed proliferation and increased apoptotic cell death, chronic exposure to elevated corticosteroid levels caused a longer-lasting reduction in proliferation and survival, although a partial reversal was seen after several weeks of recovery<sup>36,80-82</sup>. Apoptosis was also reduced, which pointed to a general slowing down of the cell cycle. With prolonged exposure to stressors, this might have considerable consequences for the nature and age of the neurons in the dentate gyrus and, therefore, for cognitive processes, such as impaired learning, in situations in which the dentate gyrus is important. Interestingly, stress-induced suppression of proliferation was prevented by the antidepressant tianeptine<sup>81,83</sup> and by CRH or vasopressin antagonists<sup>84</sup>. This is probably owing to the intrinsic effects of antidepressants on cell proliferation, as chronic treatment with imipramine and fluoxetine increased neurogenesis. The effect of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSR1) fluoxetine was abolished in a 5-HT1, receptor knockout mouse<sup>85</sup>. When neurogenesis was blocked by X-ray exposure, the behavioural effects of the antidepressants were eliminated. This finding led to the proposal that antidepressants might exert their actions by promoting neurogenesis, although caution should be exercised when extrapolating these findings to the pathogenesis of depression.

At present, it is unclear whether the effects of lasting or repeated stressors on hippocampal remodelling proceed directly through MR- and GR-mediated actions on structural and cell-cycle genes in neurons<sup>86</sup>, as indicated by the identification of responsive target genes. In general, MRs and GRs respond to acute challenges, but seem to adapt to chronic treatments<sup>87,88</sup>. However, the corticosteroid signalling cascade is complex and involves numerous chaperones, accessory proteins, co-regulators and interacting transcription factors (BOX 2) that permit differentiation between GR- and MR-mediated actions<sup>89,90</sup>. This might be altered after long-lasting stress.

In addition to the corticosteroid receptors, other mediators, such as growth factors or the central monoaminergic system, have also been implicated in altered brain function after chronic stress or glucocorticoid exposure. For instance, although acute stressors stimulate the activity of monoaminergic systems in the brain — an effect that is mediated, in part, by glucocorticoids — sustained exposure to stress or glucocorticoids attenuates these systems. This is exemplified by attenuated 5-HT<sub>14</sub> receptor function in the CA1 region, generally downregulated  $5-HT_{1A}$  receptor expression in the dentate gyrus, increased 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor expression, and decreased 5-HT turnover and release<sup>91–93</sup>. During chronic stress, noradrenaline and CRH mutually enhance the activity of one another in the amygdala-locus coeruleus circuitry<sup>94</sup>. Chronic stress, particularly when coping is impossible, attenuates the rewarding value of stimulating the mesocortical dopaminergic system and inhibits dopamine release in various terminal areas, including the hypothalamus95.

The monoaminergic system and the corticosteroid receptors also interact to establish changes in the brain. This probably occurs predominantly through brain MRs, as GRs are relatively resistant to the effects of chronic stress<sup>87,88</sup>. Moreover, the manipulation of 5-HT, noradrenergic and peptidergic inputs to the hippocampus enhances the expression of the MR in particular<sup>21,96–100</sup>. This might have profound implications for the structural integrity of the dentate gyrus, as several approaches have identified the MR as a crucial factor in the control of dentate gyrus neurogenesis and cell death<sup>30–34,101</sup>. Therefore, the induction of MR by antidepressants might mediate the recently reported drug effects on neurogenesis<sup>85</sup>.

Inter-individual differences. Stress reactivity in adulthood is modulated by genetic background as well as early experience (FIG. 2). Genetic background can modulate the response to adversity in early life as well as in adulthood. Numerous rat and mouse lines have been bred for behavioural traits that resemble the most prevalent symptoms of affective disorders. This includes genetic selection for anxiety and aggression traits, and for dysregulations in HPA and biogenic amine systems<sup>102-110</sup>. By selectively and bidirectionally breeding Wistar rats for either high-anxiety-related behaviour (HAB) or low-anxiety-related behaviour (LAB), an animal model was generated that showed the same normalization in neuroendocrine tests as that seen in patients with depression when treated with antidepressants<sup>104,105</sup>. A HAB-specific allele of the vasopressin gene promoter was shown to contain a mutation that disrupts cognate binding of the transcriptional repressor CArG binding factor A, which consequently results in vasopressin overexpression in this particular rat line<sup>106</sup>.

Another model involves wild house mice that are selected for either long or short latency before attacking an intruder in their home territory<sup>107</sup>. These two groups represent the extremes of the coping mechanisms that co-exist in any population<sup>108</sup>. The short attack-latency (SAL) mice are aggressive and display an innate active fight-flight coping mechanism towards environmental challenges; they have high stress-induced sympathetic activity and low adrenocortical output. By contrast, the long attack-latency (LAL) mice are non-aggressive, parasympathetically dominated and have a cautious passive coping mechanism that is characterized by freezing. In response to stress, LAL mice have much higher CRH mRNA expression, HPA activity and corticosterone output<sup>109</sup>. Repeated sensory, but not physical, contact showed a change in the passive LAL mice towards a 'depression-like' neuroendocrine phenotype<sup>110</sup>.

These are just a few examples of the many rodent lines that have been selected for genetic traits that are related to stress. One caveat of this approach is that with selection for anxiety, aggression or other coping related traits, a host of other changes co-segregate and, in most cases, result in altered MR and GR expression. The interrelationships and causality of the various changes are often obscure, particularly in inbred animals, which limits the relevance of data from such lines with regard to complex human diseases.

In addition to genetic background, early experience predicts health outcome, as has been reported in animal models of prenatal materno-fetal<sup>111</sup> and postnatal mother-offspring interactions<sup>112</sup>. In the pioneering studies of Seymour Levine<sup>113,114</sup>, rat pups that were briefly separated from the dam showed reduced emotional and neuroendocrine reactivity to common stressors in adulthood. These effects persisted into old age115 and seemed to be caused by the enhanced maternal care that the pups received on their return, which included maternal licking, grooming and arched-back nursing. The offspring of high licking and grooming mothers showed attenuated stress-system activity, improved cognitive performance in a spatial-learning test and reduced anxiety-like behaviour in adulthood<sup>112,116</sup>. A recent study proposed that the programming effect that is exerted by maternal behaviour is associated with a single gene: the GR gene. The offspring of 'caring' mothers had higher hippocampal GR expression, owing to demethylation of a cysteine residue at the 5' NGF1A binding region in the exon  $1_7$  promoter<sup>117</sup>.

By contrast, repeated separations daily for 3 h produced a phenotype later in life that was characterized by enhanced emotional and HPA responsiveness to brief stressors<sup>118</sup>. Moreover, CRH mRNA expression was enhanced in the central amygdala and PVN. The GR mRNA was decreased, particularly in cortical areas. Rats that were subjected to a single 24 h maternal deprivation were also used as a laboratory model of neglect. This procedure caused lifelong changes in stress responsiveness and cognitive performance<sup>119</sup>. During midlife, 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>-receptor-mediated responses in the hippocampus were attenuated<sup>120</sup>, and all rats showed hyper-responsiveness to an unfamiliar stressor and impairment of spatial learning. However, during the ageing process, a dichotomy developed: some deprived animals maintained excellent cognitive performance into old age, whereas performance in others deteriorated, which indicates that early experience might amplify individual trait differences119.

These studies show that HPA responsiveness and CRH expression are permanently altered in rodents as a result of early life experience. Importantly, in lines that have been selected for a genetic trait, such as a high or low propensity to develop learned-helplessness behaviour<sup>102</sup> or responsiveness to apomorphin<sup>103</sup>, the same early life adversity can have different consequences in individual animals for stress responsivity later in life. Early adversity, in combination with the genetic background, seems to sensitize certain circuits in the brain to an acute stressor. Consequently, the stress axis is persistently altered in reactivity, as reflected in an altered MR/GR balance. Several lines of evidence indicate that the same might happen in both non-human primates and humans<sup>121,122</sup>.

# Stress as a risk factor for mental illness

The inability to cope with life events, which leads to the hypersecretion of corticosteroids, imposes an increased risk for depression, as well as increased abdominal obesity, osteoporosis and cardiovascular problems<sup>123</sup>. Hypercortisolaemia that is associated

## $\operatorname{Box} 3 \mid$ Stress as a risk factor for depression

Supporting evidence for the fact that stress might precipitate depression includes the following:

- The hyperdrive of hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)/ vasopressin neurons and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) hyperreactivity that results from chronic stress also occurs in depression.
- Neuroendocrine signs of depression can be discriminated from other stressinduced psychiatric disorders, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which is characterized by CRH/vasopressin hyperdrive and hypocortisolaemia.
- Hypercortisolaemia can disturb anxiety and aggression regulation, and produce cognitive impairment that is associated with a depression-like phenotype.
- Hypercortisolaemia disturbs monoaminergic systems in a manner similar to that observed in depression.
- Hypercortisolaemia causes volume reductions in limbic structures, which are also observed in depression.
- Early-life stress can produce enhanced emotional and neuroendocrine reactivity, which creates a vulnerable phenotype for depression.
- HPA activity is a predictor for the relapse and remission of depressive symptoms.
- Intracerebroventricular CRH induces anxiety and a depression-like phenotype.
- Glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and CRH1 receptor (CRHR1) mutagenesis in mice modulates anxiety, aggression and cognitive performance.
- Antidepressants enhance limbic mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and GR expression in correspondence with normalization of the HPA axis.
- CRHR1 antagonists ameliorate the signs and symptoms of depression.
- GR antagonists improve psychotic symptoms.
- MR antagonists worsen antidepressant outcome.

This evidence leads to the conclusion that sustained hyperactivity of the HPA axis and MR/GR imbalance precipitated by (early) life stress generate a vulnerable phenotype, which traverses present diagnostic boundaries<sup>49,10</sup>.

with coping problems is primarily related to emotional arousal, psychotic symptoms and cognitive impairment<sup>124</sup>. Hypercortisolaemia that occurs secondarily to pituitary tumours in patients with CUSHING DISEASE or after prolonged glucocorticoid administration is believed to cause similar symptoms. In both depression and Cushing disease, the volume of the hippocampus is reduced<sup>125</sup>, although actual neuronal damage was not detectable in post-mortem brain tissue from individuals with depression<sup>126</sup>.

However, from both the clinical and basic research perspectives, it is important to separate these afflictions, as chronic stress is as different from major depression as it is from Cushing disease. Under conditions of chronic stress, neuropeptides (for example, CRH) trigger corticosteroid secretion. In healthy individuals, neuropeptides coordinate adaptation, but they fail to do so during depression, which precipitates psychopathology. In patients with Cushing disease or those that have undergone corticosteroid therapy, the prime causes are excessive corticosteroid, but not peptide, concentrations, which have effects that range from cognitive impairment to psychosis, although mania and delusions might also occur. Nevertheless, the same endocrine conditions that are elicited by chronic stress and Cushing disease might induce affective disorders in individuals who carry a genetic risk.

HPA-axis disturbances: risk factors for depression? Perturbations of the stress system in animals can uncover cognitive and emotional disturbances in predisposed individuals that resemble some of the symptoms that are seen in patients with depression (BOX 3). Further evidence comes from animal models in which components of the HPA axis were modified by mutagenesis. For instance, the Cre/LoxP system, under the control of the nestin promoter (GRNes/Cre-knockout mice), induced time-dependent forebrain-specific disrupted expression of GR. These mutants showed some of the neuroendocrine signs of depression, although anxiety and despair were reduced<sup>127</sup>. When GRknockout mice were generated using the α-calcium/ calmodulin dependent protein kinase II (αCaMKII) promoter, by deleting the expression of limbic GR (except in the hypothalamic PVN), a robust depression-like phenotype was seen, which was normalized after chronic treatment with the tricyclic antidepressant imipramine<sup>128</sup>. By contrast, forebrain-specific overexpression of GR produced a state of emotional lability<sup>129</sup>. Mouse mutants in which the CRH1 receptor (CRHR1) was totally<sup>130,131</sup> or conditionally<sup>132</sup> deleted showed a reduction in affective symptoms. These mice, as well as those in which GR function was impaired by GR-antisense expression<sup>133</sup>, implied that components of the HPA axis (such as CRHR1 or MR/GR function) are crucial in the pathogenesis of affective disorders. So, what is the evidence that life events or inadequate HPA function can really precipitate disorders such as depression?

First, there are strong correlative data. Many patients with depression have disturbed HPA-axis regulation. Although hypercortisolaemia often is not obvious from measurements of basal cortisol levels, it is reflected by elevated daily cortisol concentrations in the urine. However, the hallmark is an insufficient suppression of corticotropin and cortisol following a low dose of the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone, and a blunted corticotropin response to CRH. A refined test that combined dexamethasone suppression with CRH stimulation (the dex/CRH test) indicated that CRH and vasopressin both drive HPA activity in patients with depression<sup>134</sup>. This conclusion was indirectly derived from the observation in rats that dexamethasone, when administered at low dosages, acts primarily at the pituitary, and thereby suppresses corticotropin and corticosterone. In the brain, this depletion of endogenous corticosteroid hormone is not compensated by dexamethasone, because at low dosages this drug is a poor penetrator of the blood-brain barrier (BBB)<sup>135</sup>. In support of this mechanism, in animals that are selected for high innate anxiety and excessive hormonal responses to the dex/CRH test, the hypersecretion of corticosterone is normalized by pretreatment with a vasopressin antagonist<sup>104</sup>. The elevation of CRH and vasopressin levels is also shown in post-mortem human brains<sup>136</sup>.

Second, longitudinal studies with repeatedlyperformed neuroendocrine tests not only showed that patients do not respond well to the treatment if

CUSHING DISEASE A hormonal disorder that is caused by prolonged exposure of the body tissues to high levels of cortisol, owing to a tumour in the adrenal or anterior pituitary.

HPA-axis disturbance persists, but also showed that clinically remitted patients whose HPA disturbance reappears are at high risk of relapse<sup>137</sup>. Apparently, the normalization of HPA disturbances is a prerequisite for successful treatment and persistence, whereas the reappearance of HPA disturbances is prognostically unfavourable. This supports the view that HPA activity is directly involved in the pathogenesis and course of depression. However, it is important to bear in mind that peripheral stress-hormone changes do not necessarily reflect central processes: CRH might be elevated at brain sites that are remote from the hypothalamus, thereby conveying depression or anxiety-like symptoms in the absence of increased plasma cortisol.

Third, in healthy individuals who belong to families with a high genetic load for depression, the combined dex/CRH test showed cortisol responses that were intermediate between those individuals with acute depression and normal controls<sup>138</sup>. This indicates that subtle changes of HPA-axis function are a genetic trait that increases the risk of developing depression or other stress-related diseases later in life. Importantly, this is found in individuals who are not long-term exposed to a clinical setting.

Collectively, these observations support the concept that HPA-axis dysregulation, possibly as a genetic predisposition, is a risk factor for depression. The evidence is reinforced by the finding that antidepressants induce MR and GR to some extent, and possibly also aspects of the BBB (that is, multidrug resistance P glycoprotein)<sup>139</sup> in parallel with HPA-axis normalization<sup>98</sup>. This raises the question of whether this genetic predisposition is linked to corticosteroid signalling or whether genes that are remote from the axis are involved.

The vulnerable phenotype in humans. On the basis of twin studies, depression has an estimated heritability of 40%<sup>140</sup>. As in any complex genetic disease, many different genes seem to be involved, with varying effects on vulnerability. In addition, some individuals who experienced early trauma, such as parental loss, sexual abuse or physical assault in childhood, also present a vulnerable phenotype with an increased risk for neuroendocrine dysregulation later in life<sup>141,142</sup>. Although genetic factors and early trauma are well-documented antecedents of major depressive episodes that are precipitated by life events or chronic stressors, many people who are exposed to such external influences never develop depression, whereas other patients who have not been exposed to any kind of stressor do.

It is estimated that 10–40% of individuals who have been exposed to extreme trauma, such as the holocaust, combat, rape, abuse or a traffic accident, develop PTSD<sup>143</sup> and show a large comorbidity with depression. These individuals display elevated CRH levels in their cerebrospinal fluid, but, unlike patients with depression, have lower than normal plasma cortisol concentrations and show higher pituitary sensitivity to the corticotropin-suppressing effects of dexamethasone. Their cortisol signalling capacity seems to be elevated, so that lower levels of cortisol efficiently suppress HPA function<sup>11</sup>. This implies that afferent pathways to the core of the HPA axis are exposed to reduced corticosteroid concentrations. Many, but not all<sup>144</sup>, psychological and biological data support the hypothesis that "the onset of PTSD is facilitated by a failure to contain the biological stress response at the time of the trauma, resulting in a cascade of alterations that lead to intrusive recollections of the event, avoidance of the reminders of the event and symptoms of hyperarousal"<sup>11</sup>.

Considerable progress has been made in the characterization of neuroendocrine, emotional and cognitive dysregulations in depression and PTSD, but the pathogenic mechanisms that precipitate these stress-related disorders remain poorly understood. By combining chronic stress models with established risk factors - such as adverse early experience, and genetically selected traits for anxiety and aggression — progress can be made in defining vulnerable phenotypes. This approach is nicely illustrated by a recent pharmacogenetic study, in which patients who carried a specific mutation in the FKBP5 gene, which encodes a co-chaperone of heat-shock protein 90 (HSP90), responded much faster to antidepressants than a group that did not carry this mutation<sup>145</sup>. FKBP5 contributes to the folding of GR in the cytosol and thereby determines the affinity at which cortisol is bound to its cognate receptor. The genotype that predicted favourable clinical outcome was also associated with lower hormonal response to the dex/CRH test and increased FKBP5 protein expression. Because FKBP5 inhibits dephosphorylation, increased expression of this co-chaperone might augment the effect of antidepressants, which act through monoaminergic receptors to activate kinases and, consequently, the phosphorylation of transcription factors<sup>146</sup>.

Certain genetic factors might also render individuals resilient to developing affective disorders. This is well illustrated by the polymorphism in the ER22/23 EK allele, which is located at the beginning of exon 2 of the GR gene. Individuals that carry this polymorphism have a healthier metabolic profile and better cognitive function than the general population<sup>147</sup>. The polymorphism is also associated with a more favourable treatment outcome in individuals with depression (E. F. van Rossum, personal communication). By contrast, individuals with other functional polymorphisms in the GR gene have more body fat, less lean mass, hypersensitive insulin secretion and increased cholesterol levels<sup>147,148</sup>. The latter group displayed an enhanced corticotropin and cortisol response to a psychosocial stressor compared with controls, which indicates a role of minor genetic variations in the GR gene on HPA regulation and related features<sup>149</sup>.

At present, the evidence indicates that the nature and timing of the stressor, in combination with genetic risk factors, determine the neuroendocrine and psychopathological features that ensue. An individual who carries a genetic risk for developing a stress-related disease is more likely to develop a clinical condition in response to threatening events. A notable example is the functional polymorphism in the promoter region of a key gene, the 5-HT transporter. Epidemiological studies showed that a traumatic event was less likely to precipitate depression in individuals who carried one or two copies of the short version of the 5-HT transporter than in individuals with the longer version<sup>150</sup>. So far, this is the best-documented example of a functional polymorphism in affective disorders.

Therapeutic perspectives. As overexposure of brain tissue to stress hormones has an important role in the development and course of depression, this knowledge might be used for new treatment strategies. This approach was adopted recently in a genetic strategy to attenuate neuronal damage that resulted from excess GR activation in a rat model<sup>151</sup>. Positive results were obtained with the overexpression of a competing inactive GR variant or a corticosterone-degrading enzyme (11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase)<sup>152</sup>. However, the most effective approach was the delivery of a chimeric receptor that combined the ligand-binding domain of the GR with the DNA-binding domain of the oestrogen receptor, thereby converting the glucocorticoid signal into a genetic oestrogen effect. The expression of the chimeric receptor achieved a reduction in hippocampal lesion size of 63% and rendered excess glucocorticoids protective rather than destructive<sup>151</sup>.

In the psychiatric realm, diminishing GR- or CRHmediated actions in depressed patients also had beneficial effects<sup>153,154</sup>. Preliminary evidence indicates that psychotic symptoms are ameliorated by GR antagonists in psychotic depression, which is a subtype that is characterized by high cortisol levels<sup>155,156</sup>. Symptoms of steroid psychosis in patients with Cushing disease also respond favourably to GR antagonists<sup>157</sup>. In animal studies, chronic GR-antagonist treatment improved cognitive performance158, enhanced HPA reactivity and led to increased hippocampal MR expression<sup>159</sup>. The clinical relevance of MR function during depression was further emphasized in a study in which spironolactone, which is an MR antagonist, worsened the clinical outcome when administered in conjunction with antidepressant treatment<sup>160</sup>. Finally, based on the rationale that endogenous cortisol provides an inadequate signal to contain the stress reactions of PTSD patients, pilot studies showed that cortisol administration ameliorated PTSD symptoms<sup>161,162</sup>. These findings support the idea that in humans, as in animal models, reinstating appropriate HPA signalling seems to be a promising treatment approach.

### **Concluding statements**

Corticosteroid hormones are important mediators of the response to stress, which is shaped by both genetic endowment and external (early life) factors. The actions of these steroids are enormously diverse, and are mediated by a binary receptor system that, among others, involes MRs and GRs. The two receptor types mediate a finely balanced mechanism that governs the often opposing molecular and cellular changes. Their proper function determines the capacity of an organism to contain the initial stress reactions in the acute phase and the binary system is also crucial in the management of the late recovery phase. In this review, emphasis has been put on the afferent input from limbic networks that conveys purely psychological stress reactions to the HPA axis. It is in this interplay of limbic inputs from the hippocampus, pre-frontal cortex and amygdala with the HPA-axis activity that a vulnerable phenotype for mental illness might evolve.

Stress-related disorders, such as depression and PTSD, are complex and multifactorial: they cannot be attributed to mutations in a single gene or to a single external event, but, rather, result from the concerted actions of many subtle genetic polymorphisms and external events, the effects of which might accumulate over time. Once traumatic life events, in combination with genetic disposition, have engrained long-lasting changes in MR and GR signalling, a vulnerable phenotype emerges. The vulnerability of an individual is defined at three different levels: the traditional clinical phenotype (emotional reactivity and personality); the functional phenotype (neuroendocrine reactivity to stimuli); and the genotype (for example, polymorphisms in genes that are involved in HPA signalling or monoaminergic and peptidergic neurotransmission).

An integrated approach that analyses patients at all three levels leaves room for optimism that not only an understanding of the causation of stress-related diseases, but also new targets for treatment will become available. Importantly, such an approach will provide opportunities for disease prevention, which could make individuals with increased vulnerability more resilient to the adversities and stressors of life.

- 1. Selye, H. Syndrome produced by diverse nocuous agents. *Nature* **138**, 32 (1936).
- Levine, S. & Ursin, H. in Stress Neurobiology and Neuroendocrinology (eds Brown, M. R. & Koob, G. F.) 3–21 (Rivier Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991).
- Chrousos, G. P. & Gold, P. W. The concepts of stress and stress system disorders. Overview of physical and behavioral homeostasis. *JAMA* 267, 1244–1252 (1992).
- De Kloet, E. R., Vreugdenhil, E., Oitzl, M. S. & Joëls, M. Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocr. Rev.* **19**, 269–301 (1998).
- McEwen, B. in *Encyclopedia of Stress* Vol. 3 (ed. Fink, G.) 508–509 (Academic, San Diego, USA, 2000).
- Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M. & Munck, A. U. How do glucocorticolds influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr. Rev.* 21, 55–89 (2000).
- Tausk, M. Das Hormon: Hat die Nebennierrinde tatsächlich eine Verteidigungsfunktion? Vol. 3 (Organon International BV, Oss, The Netherlands, 1952).
- McEwen, B. S. Mood disorders and allostatic load. *Biol.* Psychiatry 54, 200–207 (2003).
- Holsboer, F. The corticosteroid receptor hypothesis of depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 23, 477–501 (2000).
- 10. Van Praag, H. M., de Kloet, E. R. & van Os, J. Stress, the Brain and Depression (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004).
- Yehuda, R. Post-traumatic stress disorder. N. Engl. J. Med. 346, 108–114 (2002).
- Reul, J. M. & de Kloet, E. R. Two receptor systems for corticosterone in rat brain: microdistribution and differential occupation. *Endocrinology* **117**, 2505–2511 (1985).

### The first account of two distinct nuclear receptor systems that bind naturally occurring glucocorticoids with a tenfold difference in affinity.

 Arriza, J. L., Simerly, R. B., Swanson, L. W. & Evans, R. M. The neuronal mineralocorticoid receptor as a mediator of glucocorticoid response. *Neuron* 1, 887–900 (1988).

- Young, E. A., Abelson, J. & Lightman, S. L. Cortisol pulsatility and its role in stress regulation and health. *Eront Neuroendocrinol* 25, 69–76 (2004)
- Front. Neuroendocrinol. 25, 69–76 (2004).
  15. Kitchener, P., Di Blasi, F., Borrelli, E. & Piazza, P. V. Differences between brain structures in nuclear translocation and DNA binding of the glucocorticoid receptor during stress and the circadian cycle. *Eur.* J. Neurosci. 19, 1837–1846 (2004).
- Miklos, I. H. & Kovacs, K. J. GABAergic innervation of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-secreting parvocellular neurons and its plasticity as demonstrated by quantitative immunoelectron microscopy. *Neuroscience* 113, 581–592 (2002).
- Herman, J. P. et al. Central mechanisms of stress integration: hierarchical circuitry controlling hypothalamopituitary-adrenocortical responsiveness. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 24, 151–180 (2003).
- Patel, P. D. *et al.* Glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptor mRNA expression in squirrel monkey brain. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **34**, 383–392 (2000).
   Trapo, T., Rupprecht, R., Castren, M., Reul, J. M. &
- Trapp, T., Rupprecht, R., Castren, M., Reul, J. M. & Holsboer, F. Heterodimerization between mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor: a new principle of glucocorticoid action in the CNS. *Neuron* 13, 1457–1462 (1994).
- Nishi, M., Ogawa, H., Ito, T., Matsuda, K. I. & Kawata, M. Dynamic changes in subcellular localization of mineralocorticoid receptor in living cells: in comparison with glucocorticoid receptor using dual-color labeling with green fluorescent protein spectral variants. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **15**, 1077–1092 (2001).
- Gesing, A. et al. Psychological stress increases hippocampal mineralocorticoid receptor levels: involvement of corticotropin-releasing hormone. J. Neurosci. 21, 4822–4829 (2001).
- Datson, N. A., van der Perk, J., de Kloet, E. R. & Vreugdenhil, E. Identification of corticosteroid-responsive genes in rat hippocampus using serial analysis of gene expression. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 14, 675–689 (2001). This paper describes a comprehensive database of MR- and GR-responsive gene patterns in the hippocampus.
- Sabban, E. L. & Kvetnansky, R. Stress-triggered activation of gene expression in catecholaminergic systems: dynamics of transcriptional events. *Trends Neurosci.* 24, 91–98 (2001).
- Schaaf, M. J., Hoetelmans, R. W., de Kloet, E. R. & Vreugdenhil, E. Corticosterone regulates expression of BDNF and trkB but not NT-3 and trkC mRNA in the rat hippoccampus. J. Neurosci. Res. 48, 334–341 (1997)
- Hansson, A. C. *et al.* Gluco- and mineralocorticoid receptor-mediated regulation of neurotrophic factor gene expression in the dorsal hippocampus and the neocortex of the rat. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **12**, 2918–2934 (2000).
- Sandi, C. Stress, cognitive impairment and cell adhesion molecules. *Nature Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 917–930 (2004).
- Kole, M. H., Costoli, T., Koolhaas, J. M. & Fuchs, E. Bidirectional shift in the cornu ammonis 3 pyramidal dendritic organization following brief stress. *Neuroscience* 125, 337–347 (2004).
- Wossink, J., Karst, H., Mayboroda, O. & Joäls, M. Morphological and functional properties of rat dentate granule cells after adrenalectomy. *Neuroscience* **108**, 263–272 (2001).
- Garcia, A., Steiner, B., Kronenberg, G., Bick-Sander, A. & Kempermann, G. Age-dependent expression of glucocorticoid- and mineralocorticoid receptors on neural precursor cell populations in the adult murine hippocampus. *Aging Cell* **3**, 363–371 (2004).
- Woolley, C. S., Gould, E., Sakai, R. R., Spencer, R. L. & McEwen, B. S. Effects of aldosterone or RU28362 treatment on adrenalectomy-induced cell death in the dentate gyrus of the adult rat. *Brain Res.* 554, 312–315 (1991).
- Hu, Z., Yuri, K., Ozawa, H., Lu, H. & Kawata, M. The *in vivo* time course for elimination of adrenalectomy-induced apoptotic profiles from the granule cell layer of the rat hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 3981–3989 (1997).
- Nair, S. M. et al. Gene expression profiles associated with survival of individual rat dentate cells after endogenous corticosteroid deprivation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 20, 3233–3243 (2004).
- Almeida, O. F. et al. Subtle shifts in the ratio between proand antiapoptotic molecules after activation of corticosteroid receptors decide neuronal fate. FASEB J. 14, 779–790 (2000).
- Tanapat, P., Hastings, N. B., Rydel, T. A., Galea, L. A. & Gould, E. Exposure to fox odor inhibits cell proliferation in the hippocampus of adult rats via an adrenal hormonedependent mechanism. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **437**, 496–504 (2001).

This report describes how stressful experiences rapidly diminish cell proliferation by increasing adrenal hormone levels, which results in a transient decrease in the number of adult-generated immature granule neurons.

- Crochemore, C., Michaelidis, T. M., Fischer, D., Loeffler, J. P. & Almeida, O. F. Enhancement of p53 activity and inhibition of neural cell proliferation by glucocorticoid receptor activation. *FASEB J.* 16, 761–770 (2002).
- Heine, V. M., Maslam, S., Zareno, J., Joëls, M. & Lucassen, P. J. Suppressed proliferation and apoptotic changes in the rat dentate gyrus after acute and chronic stress are reversible. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 19, 131–144 (2004).
- Joëls, M. Steroid hormones and excitability in the mammalian brain. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 18, 2–48 (1997).
- Joëls, M. & de Kloet, E. R. Effects of glucocorticoids and norepinephrine on the excitability in the hippocampus. *Science* 245, 1502–1505 (1989).
   This paper shows that glucocorticoids can reduce transmitter-evoked excitability in the hippocampus.

transmitter-evoked excitability in the hippocampus, presumably through receptor-mediated genomic actions.

- Joëls, M., Hesen, W. & de Kloet, E. R. Mineralocorticoid hormones suppress serotonin-induced hyperpolarization of rat hippocampal CA1 neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **11**, 2288–2294 (1991).
- Beck, S. G., Chol, K. C., List, T. J., Okuhara, D. Y. & Birnsteil, S. Corticosterone alters 5-HT1A receptormediated hyperpolarization in area CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 14, 27–33 (1996).
- Kerr, D. S., Campbell, L. W., Thibault, O. & Landfield, P. W. Hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor activation enhances voltage-dependent Ca<sup>2+</sup> conductances: relevance to brain aging. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 89, 8527–8531 (1992).
- Karst, H. et al. Corticosteroid actions in hippocampus require DNA binding of glucocorticoid receptor homodimers. Nature Neurosci, 3, 977–978 (2000).
- Venero, C. & Borrell, J. Rapid glucocorticoid effects on excitatory amino acid levels in the hippocampus: a microdialysis study in freely moving rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 2465–2473 (1999).
   Di, S., Malcher-Lopes, R., Halmos, K. C. & Tasker, J. G.
- Di, S., Malcher-Lopes, R., Halmos, K. C. & Tasker, J. G. Nongenomic glucocorticoid inhibition via endocannabinoid release in the hypothalamus: a fast feedback mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 4850–4857 (2003).
   This study presents evidence for rapid

### glucocorticoid feedback inhibition of hypothalamic hormone secretion by endocannabinoid release in the PVN.

- Dong, Y. *et al.* Cocaine-induced potentiation of synaptic strength in dopamine neurons: Behavioral correlates in GluRA (-/-) mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 14282–14287 (2004).
- 46. Diamond, D. M., Bennett, M. C., Fleshner, M. & Rose, G. M. Inverted-U relationship between the level of peripheral corticosterone and the magnitude of hippocampal primed burst potentiation. *Hippocampus* 2, 421-430 (1992). This research shows that corticosterone exerts a concentration-dependent biphasic influence on the expression of hippocampal plasticity.
- Martin, S. J., Grimwood, P. D. & Morris, R. G. Synaptic plasticity and memory: an evaluation of the hypothesis. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 649–711 (2000).
- Kim, J. J. & Diamond, D. The stressed hippocampus, synaptic plasticity and lost memories. *Nature Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 453–462 (2002).
- Pavlides, C., Ogawa, S., Kimura, A. & McEwen, B. S. Role of adrenal steroid mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors in long-term potentiation in the CA1 field of hippocampal slices. *Brain Res.* **738**, 229–235 (1996).
- Xu, L., Anwyl, R. & Rowan, M. J. Spatial exploration induces a persistent reversal of long-term potentiation in rat hippocampus. *Nature* **394**, 891–894 (1998).
- Kim, J. J., Foy, M. R. & Thompson. Behavioral stress modifies hippocampal plasticity through *N*-methyl-oaspartate receptor activation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 4750–4753 (1996).
- Blank, T., Nijholt, I., Eckart, K. & Spiess, J. Priming of longterm potentiation in mouse hippocampus by corticotropinreleasing factor and acute stress: implications for hippocampus-dependent learning. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 3788–3794 (2002).
- Rupprecht, R. *et al.* Progesterone receptor-mediated effects of neuroactive steroids. *Neuron* **11**, 523–530 (1993).
- Korz, V. & Frey, J. U. Stress-related modulation of hippocampal long-term potentiation in rats: involvement of adrenal steroid receptors. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 7281–7287 (2003).

- Diamond, D. M., Park, C. R. & Woodson, J. C. Stress generates emotional memories and retrograde amnesia by inducing an endogenous form of hippocampal LTP. *Hippocampus* 14, 281–291 (2004).
- Oitzi, M. S. & de Kloet, E. R. Selective corticosteroid antagonists modulate specific aspects of spatial orientation learning. *Behav. Neurosci.* **106**, 62–71 (1992). This paper shows that corticosteroids affect behavioural strategies and the storage of spatial information through MR and GR in a differential and coordinated manner.
- Oitzl, M. S., Reichardt, H. M., Joëls, M. & de Kloet, E. R. Point mutation in the mouse glucocorticoid receptor preventing DNA binding impairs spatial memory. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 12790–12795 (2001).
- Sandi, C., Loscertales, M. & Guaza, C. Experiencedependent facilitating effect of corticosterone on spatial memory formation in the water maze. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 9, 637–642 (1997).
- Quirarte, G. L., Roozendaal, B. & McGaugh, J. L. Glucocorticoid enhancement of memory storage involves noradrenergic activation in the basolateral amygdala. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 14048–14053 (1997).
   This study shows that β-adrenergic activation seems to be an essential step in mediating glucocorticoid effects on memory storage and that the BLA is a locus of interaction for these two systems.
- De Quervain, D. J., Roozendaal, B. & McGaugh, J. L. Stress and glucocorticoids impair retrieval of long-term spatial memory. *Nature* **394**, 787–790 (1998).
- Myers, K. M. & Davis, M. Behavioral and neural analysis of extinction. *Neuron* 36, 567–584 (2002).
- Cordero, M. I., Merino, J. J. & Sandi, C. Correlational relationship between shock intensity and corticosterone secretion on the establishment and subsequent expression of contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* **112**, 885–891 (1998).
- Karst, H. et al. Glucocorticoids alter calcium conductances and calcium channel subunit expression in basolateral amygdala neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 1083–1089 (2002).
- Vouimba, R. M., Yaniv, D., Diamond, D. & Richter-Levin, G. Effects of inescapable stress on LTP in the amygdala versus the dentate gyrus of freely behaving rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 19, 1887–1894 (2004).
- Kim, J. J., Lee, H. J., Han, J. S. & Packard, M. G. Amygdala is critical for stress-induced modulation of hippocampal long-term potentiation and learning. J. Neurosci. 21, 5222–5228 (2001).
- Akirav, I. & Richter-Levin, G. Mechanisms of amygdala modulation of hippocampal plasticity. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 912–921 (2002).
- Maroun, M. & Richter-Levin, G. Exposure to acute stress blocks the induction of long-term potentiation of the amygdala-prefrontal cortex pathway *in vivo. J. Neurosci.* 23, 4406–4409 (2003).
- Amat, J. et al. Medial prefrontal cortex determines how stressor controllability affects behavior and dorsal raphe nucleus. Nature Neurosci. 8, 365–371 (2005).
- Conrad, C. D., LeDoux, J. E., Magarinos, A. M. & McEwen, B. S. Repeated restraint stress facilitates fear conditioning independently of causing hippocampal CA3 dendritic atrophy. *Behav. Neurosci.* **113**, 902–913 (1999).
- Bowman, R. E., Beck, K. D. & Luine, V. N. Chronic stress effects on memory: sex differences in performance and monoaminergic activity. *Horm. Behav.* 43, 48–59 (2003).
- Woolley, C. S., Gould, E. & McEwen, B. S. Exposure to excess glucocorticoids alters dendritic morphology of adult hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Brain Res.* 531, 225–231 (1990).

### In this study, changes in dendritic morphology were observed that might have been indicative of neurons in the early stages of degeneration

 Magarinos, A. M., McEwen, B. S., Flugge, G. & Fuchs, E. Chronic psychosocial stress causes apical dendritic atrophy of hippocampal CA3 pyramidal neurons in subordinate tree shrews. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 3534–3540 (1996).

### These results indicate that a naturalistic psychosocial stressor induces specific structural changes in the hippocampal neurons of subordinate male tree shrews.

- Sandi, C. et al. Rapid reversal of stress induced loss of synapses in CA3 of rat hippocampus following water maze training. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 2447–2456 (2003).
- Wellman, C. L. Dendritic reorganization in pyramidal neurons in medial prefrontal cortex after chronic corticosterone administration. *J. Neurobiol.* 49, 245–253 (2001).

# REVIEWS

- 75. Vyas, A., Mitra, R., Shankaranarayana Rao, B. S. & Chattarij, S. Chronic stress induces contrasting patterns of dendritic remodeling in hippocampal and amygdaloid neurons. J. Neurosci. 22, 6810–6818 (2002). The data presented here indicate that chronic stress can cause contrasting patterns of dendritic remodelling in neurons of the amygdala and hippocampus.
- Magarinos, A. M., Verdugo, J. M. & McEwen, B. S. Chronic stress alters synaptic terminal structure in hippocampus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 14002–14008 (1997).
- Kole, M. H., Swan, L. & Fuchs, E. The antidepressant tianeptine persistently modulates glutamate receptor currents of the hippocampal CA3 commissural associational synapse in chronically stressed rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 807–816 (2002).
- Karst, H. & Joëls, M. Effect of chronic stress on synaptic currents in rat hippocampal dentate gyrus neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 89, 625–633 (2003).
- Alfarez, D. N., Joëls, M. & Krugers, H. J. Chronic unpredictable stress impairs long-term potentiation in rat hippocampal CA1 area and dentate gyrus *in vitro. Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 1928–1934 (2003).
- Pham, K., Nacher, J., Hof, P. R. & McEwen, B. S. Repeated restraint stress suppresses neurogenesis and induces biphasic PSA-NCAM expression in the adult rat dentate qvrus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **17**, 879–886 (2003).
- Czeh, B. *et al.* Stress-induced changes in cerebral metabolites, hippocampal volume, and cell proliferation are prevented by antidepressant treatment with tianeptine. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 12796–12801 (2001).
- Wong, E. Y. & Herbert, J. The corticoid environment: a determining factor for neural progenitors' survival in the adult hippocampus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 20, 2491–2498 (2004).
- Malberg, J. E., Eisch, A. J., Nestler, E. J. & Duman, R. S. Chronic antidepressant treatment increases neurogenesis in adult rat hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 9104–9110 (2000).
- Alonso, R. *et al.* Blockade of CRF(1) or V(1b) receptors reverses stress-induced suppression of neurogenesis in a mouse model of depression. *Mol. Psychiatry* 9, 278–286 (2004).
- Santarelli, L. et al. Requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science* 301, 805–809 (2003).
   The subtract behavioural effects of

The authors show that the behavioural effects of chronic antidepressant use might be mediated by the stimulation of neurogenesis in the hippocampus.

- Saravia, F. E. *et al.* Increased astrocyte reactivity in the hippocampus of murine models of type 1 diabetes: the nonobese diabetic (NOD) and streptozotocin-treated mice. *Brain Res.* **13**, 345–353 (2002).
- De Kloet, E. R. Brain corticosteroid balance and homeostatic control. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **12**, 95–164 (1991).
   Herman, J. P., Watson, S. J. & Spencer, R. L. Defense of
- Herman, J. F., Watson, S. J. & Spencer, R. L. Detense of adrenocorticosteroid receptor expression in rat hippocampus: effects of stress and strain. *Endocrinology* 140, 3981–3991 (1999).
- Obradovic, D. et al. DAXX, FLASH, and FAF-1 modulate mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor mediated transcription in hippocampal cells: toward a basis for the opposite actions elicited by two nuclear receptors? *Mol. Pharmacol.* 65, 761–769 (2004).
- Meijer, O. C. *et al.* Steroid receptor coactivator-1 splice variants differentially affect corticosteroid receptor signaling. *Endocrinology* **146**, 1438–1448 (2005).
- Karten, Y. J., Nair, S. M., van Essen, L., Sibug, R. & Joëls, M. Long-term exposure to high corticosterone levels attenuates serotonin responses in rat hippocampal CA1 neurons. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 13456–13461 (1999).

#### This study shows that prolonged elevation of the corticosteroid concentration, which is a possible causative factor for depression in humans, gradually attenuates responsiveness to serotonin without necessarily decreasing serotonin-1A receptor mRNA levels in pyramidal neurons.

- Froger, N. *et al.* Neurochemical and behavioral alterations in glucocorticoid receptor-impaired transgenic mice after chronic mild stress. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 2787–2796 (2004).
   Lopez, J. F., Chalmers, D. T., Little, K. Y. &
- Lopez, J. F., Chalmers, D. T., Little, K. Y. & Watson, S. J. A. E. Bennett Research Award. Regulation of serotonin1A, glucocorticoid, and mineralocorticoid receptor in rat and human hippocampus: implications for the neurobiology of depression. *Biol. Psychol.* 43, 547–573 (1998).
- Dunn, A. J., Swiergiel, A. H. & Palamarchouk, V. Brain circuits involved in corticotropin-releasing factornorepinephrine interactions during stress. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **1018**, 25–34 (2004).

- Cabib, S. & Puglisi-Allegra, S. Opposite responses of mesolimbic dopamine system to controllable and uncontrollable aversive experiences. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 3333–3340 (1994).
- Yau, J. L., Kelly, P. A. & Seckl, J. R. Increased glucocorticoid receptor gene expression in the rat hippocampus following combined serotonergic and medial septal cholinergic lesions. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 27, 174–178 (1994).
- Maccari, S. et al. Hippocampal type I and type II corticosteroid receptors are modulated by central noradrenergic systems. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 17,103–112 (1992).
- Reul, J. M., Stec, I., Soder, M. & Holsboer, F. Chronic treatment of rats with the antidepressant amitriptyline attenuates the activity of the hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenocortical system. *Endocrinology* **133**, 312–320 (1993).

This paper indicates that during amitriptyline treatment, a rise in limbic MR might be the initial phenomenon in a successively adjusting HPA system, as evidenced by the decreasing plasma hormone concentrations, declining adrenal size and upregulation of GR in particular brain regions.

- Lai, M. et al. Differential regulation of corticosteroid receptors by monoamine neurotransmitters and antidepressant drugs in primary hippocampal culture. *Neuroscience* 118, 975–984 (2003).
- 100. Hugin-Flores, M. E., Steimer, T., Aubert, M. L. & Schulz, P. Mineralo- and glucocorticoid receptor mRNAs are differently regulated by corticosterone in the rat hippocampus and anterior pituitary. *Neuroendocrinology* 79, 174–184 (2004).
- 101. Gass, P. et al. Genetic disruption of mineralocorticoid receptor leads to impaired neurogenesis and granule cell degeneration in the hippocampus of adult mice. *EMBO Rep.* 5, 447–451 (2000).
- Edwards, E., King, J. A. & Fray, J. C. Increased basal activity of the HPA axis and renin angiotensin system in congenital learned helpless rats exposed to stress early in development. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* **17**, 805–812 (1999).
- 103. Degen, S. B., Verheij, M. M. & Cools, A. R. Genetic background, nature of event, and time of exposure to event direct the phenotypic expression of a particular genotype. A study with apomorphine-(un)susceptible Wistar rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* **154**, 107–112 (2004).
- 104. Keck, M. E. et al. Vasopressin mediates the response of the combined dexamethasone/CRH test in hyper-anxious rats: implications for pathogenesis of affective disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 26, 94–105 (2002).
- Landgraf, R. & Wigger, A. Born to be anxious: neuroendocrine and genetic correlates of trait anxiety in HAB rats. Stress 6, 111–119 (2003).
- Murgatroyd, C. *et al.* Impaired repression at a vasopressin promoter polymorphism underlies overexpression of vasopressin in a rat model of trait anxiety. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 7762–7770 (2004).
- Bohus, B. *et al.* Neuroendocrine states and behavioral and physiological stress responses. *Prog. Brain Res.* **72**, 57–70 (1987).
- 108. Korte, S. M., Koolhaas, J. M., Wingfield, J. C. & McEwen, B. S. The Darwinian concept of stress: benefits of allostasis and costs of allostatic load and the trade-offs in health and disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **29**, 3–38 (2005).
- Veenema, A. H., Meijer, O. C., de Kloet, E. R. & Koolhaas, J. M. Genetic selection for coping style predicts stressor susceptibility. J. Neuroendocrinol. 15, 256–267 (2003).
- 110. Feldker, D. E. et al. Serial analysis of gene expression predicts structural differences in hippocampus of long attack latency and short attack latency mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **17**, 379–387 (2003).
- 111. Seckl, J. R. & Meaney, M. J. Glucocorticoid programming. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 1032, 63–84 (2004).
- 112. Francis, D., Diorio, J., Liu, D. & Meaney, M. J. Nongenomic transmission across generations of maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. *Science* **286**, 1155–1158 (1999).
- 113. Levine, S. Infantile experience and resistance to physiological stress. *Science* **126**, 405 (1957).
- 114. Levine, S., Dent, G. W. & de Kloet, E. R. in *Encyclopedia of* Stress 518–526 (Academic, San Diego, USA, 2000).
- Meaney, M. J., Aitken, D. H., van Berkel, C., Bhatnagar, S. & Sapolsky, R. M. Effect of neonatal handling on agerelated impairments associated with the hippocampus. *Science* 12, 766–768 (1988).
- 116. Liu, D. et al. Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *Science* 277, 1659–1662 (1997).
- 117. Weaver, I. C. G. *et al.* Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neurosci.* **7**, 847–854 (2004).

### These data indicate that a potentially reversible epigenomic state of a gene can be established through behavioural programming.

- 118. Ladd, C. O., Huot, R. L., Thrivikraman, K. V., Nemeroff, C. B. & Plotsky, P. M. Long-term adaptations in glucocorticoid receptor and mineralocorticoid receptor mRNA and negative feedback on the hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis following neonatal maternal separation. *Biol. Psychiatry* **55**, 367–375 (2004).
- Psychiatry **55**, 367–375 (2004).
  Psychiatry **55**, 367–375 (2004).
  119. Oitzl, M. S., Workel, J. O., Fluttert, M., Frosch, F. & de Kloet, E. R. Maternal deprivation affects behaviour from youth to senescence: amplification of individual differences in spatial learning and memory in senescent Brown Norway rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **12**, 3771–3780 (2000).
- Van Riel, E., van Gemert, N. G., Meijer, O. C. & Joëls, M. Effect of early life stress on serotonin responses in the hippocampus of young adult rats. *Synapse* 53, 11–19 (2004).
- 121. Coplan, J. D. et al. Persistent elevations of cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of corticotrophin releasing factor in adult nonhuman primates exposed to early-life stressors: implications for the pathophysiology of mood and anxiety disorders. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 1619–1623 (1996).

# These data indicate a potential neurobiological mechanism in non-human primates by which earlylife stressors might contribute to adult psychopathology.

- Kendler, K. S. et al. Stressful life events, genetic liability, and onset of an episode of major depression in women. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **152**, 833–842 (1995).
- Brown, E. S., Varghese, F. P. & McEwen, B. S. Association of depression with medical illness: does cortisol play a role? *Biol. Psychiatry* 55, 1–9 (2004).
- Lupien, S. J. et al. Cortisol levels during human aging predict hippocampal atrophy and memory deficits. *Nature Neurosci.* 1, 69–73 (1998).

### This study presents evidence that basal cortisol elevation might cause hippocampal damage and impair hippocampus-dependent learning and memory in humans.

- 125. Sheline, Y. I., Sanghavi, M., Mintun, M. A. & Gado, M. H. Depression duration but not age predicts hippocampal volume loss in medically healthy women with recurrent major depression. J. Neurosci. 19, 5034–5043 (1999).
- Müller, M. B. *et al.* Neither major depression or glucocorticoid treatment affects the cellular integrity of the human hippocampus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **14**, 1603–1612 (2001).
- Tronche, F. *et al.* Disruption of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in the nervous system results in reduced anxiety. *Nature Genet.* 23, 99–103 (1999).

The authors show that conditional mutagenesis of GR in the nervous system provides genetic evidence for the importance of GR signalling in emotional behaviour

- 128. Boyle, M. P. et al. Acquired deficit of forebrain glucocorticoid receptor produces depression-like changes in adrenal axis regulation and behavior. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102, 473–478 (2005).
- Wei, Q. *et al.* Glucocorticoid receptor overexpression in forebrain: a mouse model of increased emotional lability. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 11851–11856 (2004).
- Smith, G. W. et al. Corticotropin releasing factor receptor 1-deficient mice display decreased anxiety, impaired stress response, and aberrant neuroendocrine development. *Neuron* 20, 1033–1102 (1998).
- 131. Timpl, P. et al. Impaired stress response and reduced anxiety in mice lacking a functional corticotropinreleasing hormone receptor 1. *Nature Genet.* **19**, 162–166 (1998).
- 132. Müller, M. B. et al. Limbic corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor 1 mediates anxiety-related behavior and hormonal adaptation to stress. *Nature Neurosci.* 6, 1100–1107 (2003). This expenses avidence that limbic CPHP1

#### This study presents evidence that limbic CRHR1 modulates anxiety-related behaviour independent of HPA-axis function.

- 133. Pepin, M. C., Pothier, F. & Barden, N. Antidepressant drug action in a transgenic mouse model of the endocrine changes seen in depression. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 42, 991–995 (1992).
- Heuser, I., Yassouridis, A. & Holsboer, F. The combined dexamethasone/CRH test: a refined laboratory test for psychiatric disorders. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 28, 341–356 (1994).
- Meijer, O. C. *et al.* Penetration of dexamethasone into brain glucocorticoid targets is enhanced in mdr1 A P-glycoprotein knockout mice. *Endocrinology* **139**, 1789–1793 (1998).

- Purba, J. S., Hoogendijk, W. J., Hofman, M. A. & Swaab, D. F. Increased number of vasopressin- and oxytocin-expressing neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus in depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 53, 137–143 (1996).
- Zobel, A. W. *et al.* Cortisol response in the combined dexamethasone/CRH test as predictor of relapse in patients with remitted depression. A prospective study. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 35, 83–94 (2001).
- Modell, S. *et al.* Hormonal response pattern in the combined DEX-CRH test is stable over time in subjects at high familial risk for affective disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 18, 253–262 (1998).
- 139. Pariante, C. M. et al. Antidepressants enhance glucocorticoid receptor function in vitro by modulating the membrane steroid transporters. Br. J. Pharmacol. 134, 1335–1343 (2001).
- Sullivan, P. F., Neale, M. C. & Kendler, K. S. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and metaanalysis. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **157**, 1552–1562 (2000).
   Heim, C. & Nemeroff, C. B. The role of childhood trauma in
- 141. Heim, C. & Nemeroff, C. B. The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. *Biol. Psychiatry* **49**, 1023–1039 (2001).
- 142. Rinne, T. et al. Hyperresponsiveness of hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis to combined dexamethasone/ corticotropin-releasing hormone challenge in female borderline personality disorder subjects with a history of sustained childhood abuse. *Biol. Psychiatry* 52, 1102–1112 (2002).
- 143. Davidson, J. R., Stein, D. J., Shalev, A. Y. & Yehuda, R. Posttraumatic stress disorder: acquisition, recognition, course, and treatment. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 16, 135–147 (2004).
- Lindley, S. E., Carlson, E. B. & Benoit, M. Basal and dexamethasone suppressed salivary cortisol concentrations in a community sample of patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 55, 940–945 (2004).
- 145. Binder, E. B. *et al.* Polymorphisms in *FKBP5* are associated with increased recurrence of depressive episodes and rapid response to antidepressant treatment. *Nature Genet.* **36**, 1319–1325 (2004).
  Individuals carrying a polymorphism in FKBP5, a GR-regulating co-chaperone, showed less HPA axis hyperactivity and a significant association with the response to antidepressants.
- 16. Wochnik, G. M. et al. FKBP51 and FKBP52 differentially regulate dynein interaction and nuclear translocation of the glucocorticoid receptor in mammalian cells. J. Biol. Chem. (in the press).
- 147. van Rossum, E. F. & Lamberts, S. W. Polymorphisms in the glucocorticoid receptor gene and their associations with metabolic parameters and body composition. *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* 59, 333–357 (2004).

- Stevens, A. *et al.* Glucocorticoid sensitivity is determined by a specific glucocorticoid receptor haplotype. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **89**, 892–897 (2004).
- 149. Wüst, S. et al. Common polymorphisms in the glucocorticoid receptor gene are associated with adrenocortical responses to psychosocial stress. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 89, 565–573 (2004). This was the first report to document an effect of GR gene polymorphisms on cortisol responses to psychosocial stress that might contribute to individual vulnerability to HPA-related disorders.
- 150. Caspi, A. et al. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science 301, 386–389 (2003).
  An epidemiological study that provides evidence of a gene-by-environment interaction, in which the response of an individual to environmental insults is moderated by genetic makeup.
- Kaufer. D. et al. Restructuring the neuronal stress response with anti-glucocorticoid gene delivery. *Nature Neurosci.* 7, 947–953 (2004).

In this study, a genetic strategy diminished the neurological damage inflicted by glucocorticoids in the hippocampus. In particular, a chimeric receptor combining the ligand-binding domain of the glucocorticoid receptor and the DNA-binding domain of the oestrogen receptor seemed effective.

- 152. Seckl, J. R. & Walker, B. R. 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 as a modulator of glucocorticoid action: from metabolism to memory. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **15**, 418–424 (2004).
- Young, A. H. et al. Improvements in neurocognitive function and mood following adjunctive treatment with mifepristone (RU-486) in bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29, 1538–1545 (2004).
- Zobel, A. W. *et al.* Effects of the high-affinity corticotropinreleasing hormone receptor 1 antagonist R121919 in major depression: the first 20 patients treated. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 34, 171–181 (2000).
- 155. Schatzberg, A. F., Rothschild, A. J., Langlais, P. J., Bird, E. D. & Cole, J. O. A corticosteroid/dopamine hypothesis for psychotic depression and related states. *Psychiatr. Res.* **19**, 57–64 (1985).
- Belanoff, J. K. *et al.* An open label trial of C-1073 (mifepristone) for psychotic major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 52, 386–392 (2002).
- 157. van der Lely, A. J., Foeken, K., van der Mast, R. C. & Lamberts, S. W. Rapid reversal of acute psychosis in the Cushing syndrome with the cortisol-receptor antagonist mifepristone (RU 486). *Ann. Intern. Med.* **114**, 143–144 (1991).
- 158. Oitzl, M. S., Fluttert, M., Sutanto, W. & de Kloet, E. R. Continuous blockade of brain glucocorticoid receptors facilitates spatial learning and memory in rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **10**, 3759–3766 (1998).

- 159. Bachmann, C. G., Linthorst, A. C., Holsboer, F. & Reul, J. M. Effect of chronic administration of selective glucocorticoid receptor antagonists on the rat hypothalamic–pituitary– adrenocortical axis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 28, 1056–1067 (2003).
- Holsboer, F. The rationale for corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor (CRH-R) antagonists to treat depression and anxiety. J. Psychiatr. Res. 33, 181–214 (1999).
- Aerni, A. *et al.* Low-dose cortisol for symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **161**, 1488–1490 (2004).
- 162. Schelling, G. et al. Stress doses of hydrocortisone, traumatic memories, and symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in patients after cardiac surgery: a randomized study. *Biol. Psychiatry* 55. 627–633 (2004)
- randomized study. *Biol. Psychiatry* **55**, 627–633 (2004).
   163. Hsu, S. Y. & Hsueh, A. J. Human stresscopin and stresscopin-related peptide are selective ligands for the type corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor. *Nature Med.* **7**, 605–611 (2001).
- 164. Reyes, T. M. *et al.* Urocortin II: a member of the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) neuropeptide family that is selectively bound by type 2 CRF receptors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 2843–2848 (2001).
- Heinrichs, S. C. & Koob, G. F. Corticotropin-releasing factor in brain: a role in activation, arousal, and affect regulation. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **311**, 427–440 (2004).
- 166. De Bosscher, K., Vanden Berghe, W. & Haegeman, G. The interplay between the glucocorticoid receptor and nuclear factor-κB or activator protein-1: molecular mechanisms for gene repression. *Endocr. Rev.* 24, 488–522 (2003).

### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and the Royal Netherlands Academy for Arts and Sciences.

### Competing interests statement

The authors declare competing financial interests: see Web version for details.

# **Online links**

### DATABASES

The following terms in this article are linked online to: Entrez Gene: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=gene

AVP | CRH | FKBP5

OMIM: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=OMIM Cushing disease

### FURTHER INFORMATION

Leiden/Amsterdam Centre for Drug Research: http://www. lacdr.nl

Access to this interactive links box is free online.